



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/909,538	07/20/2001	Paul Hopewell	GB920000033US2	1972

25259 7590 10/07/2003

IBM CORPORATION  
3039 CORNWALLIS RD.  
DEPT. T81 / B503, PO BOX 12195  
REASEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709

EXAMINER

CHEN, CHONGSHAN

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2172

DATE MAILED: 10/07/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/909,538

Applicant(s)

HOPEWELL ET AL.

Examiner

Chongshan Chen

Art Unit

2172

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

## Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:  
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_.  
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  
\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

## Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) \_\_\_\_ 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_

### DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-17 are pending in this Office Action.

#### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103*

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chandra et al. ("Chandra", 6,058,389) in view of Hallmark et al. ("Hallmark", 5,857,180).

As per claim 1, Chandra teaches a method of managing retrieval of messages from a queue, each message on the queue having been sent by a sender application program, the method comprising:

assigning an index key to a message in response to commit of the operation of putting the message on the queue, wherein the assigned index key comprises an attribute value of the message which was specified by the sending application when the message was sent (Chandra, col. 19, lines 46-49, col. 12, line 61 – col. 13, line 65); and

in response to a receiver application program requesting retrieval of messages from the queue and specifying the attribute value (Chandra, Fig. 9A-9C, col. 16, lines 6-55),

whereby the index key assigned to the message in response to said commit provides an index which is usable for identifying committed messages having the particular application-specified attribute value (Chandra, col. 19, line 45 – col. 20, line 60).

Art Unit: 2172

Chandra does not explicitly teach monitoring the availability of messages in the queue. Hallmark teaches monitoring the availability of messages in the queue (Hallmark, col. 14, lines 2-5). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include monitoring the availability of messages in the queue in the system of Chandra to monitor the availability of data and notify the system when the message is available. Because the method of monitoring the availability of messages will detect and trigger the system to retrieve the message, it provides the system the functionality to automatically retrieve messages without the needs to constantly check when the message is available.

As per claim 2, Chandra and Hallmark teach all the claimed subject matters as discussed in claim 1, and further teach in response to the monitoring step identifying the availability of a committed message in the queue which has the assigned index key (Hallmark, col. 14, lines 2-5), determining whether the message matches other criteria of the retrieval request (Chandra, col. 16, line 17 – col. 17, line 65, col. 19, line 45 – col. 20, line 60); and in response to a positive match, sending a response to the application program which issued the request (Chandra, Fig. 9A-9C).

As per claim 3, Chandra and Hallmark teach all the claimed subject matters as discussed in claim 2, and further teach wherein the response includes the message which matches the request (Chandra, Fig. 9A – 9C).

As per claim 4, Chandra and Hallmark teach all the claimed subject matters as discussed in claim 1, and further teach wherein the attribute value included in the assigned index key is a message identifier or a correlation identifier (Chandra, col. 13, lines 31-36, col. 15, lines 4-8).

Art Unit: 2172

As per claim 5, Chandra and Hallmark teach all the claimed subject matters as discussed in claim 1, and further teach wherein receiver application programs are able to issue retrieval requests with a wait attribute (Chandra, col. 16, lines 33-36), and wherein the method includes:

responsive to no messages which match the request being available in the queue when the request is issued, triggering a monitoring process to perform the monitoring step (Chandra, col. 18, lines 8-19, Hallmark, col. 14, lines 2-5); and

responsive to the monitoring step identifying the availability of a committed message in the queue having said assigned index key, determining whether the message matches a waiting retrieval request and, if matching, sending a response to the application program which issued the request (Chandra, Fig. 9A – 9C, col. 16, lines 6 - 55)

As per claim 6, Chandra and Hallmark teach all the claimed subject matters as discussed in claim 1, and further teach wherein the queue is a shared access queue held in a list structure of a Coupling Facility to which a plurality of resource managers can connect to put messages on the queue and to retrieve messages from the queue on behalf of respective sender and receiver application programs (Chandra, Fig. 2, col. 6, lines 64-65, col. 12, lines 19-22).

As per claim 7, Chandra and Hallmark teach all the claimed subject matters as discussed in claim 6, and further teach wherein the step of assigning an index key at commit time comprises a resource manager which put the message on the shared queue providing the attribute value to the Coupling Facility in response to committing the put operation, the Coupling Facility then building the index key and storing it in association with the enqueued message (Chandra, col. 12, line 61 – col. 13, line 24, col. 19, lines 46-57).

As per claim 8, Chandra and Hallmark teach all the claimed subject matters as discussed in claim 6, and further teach the assigned index value for each message is held in a predefined control data area of the Coupling Facility list structure which holds the queue (Chandra, Fig. 2, Fig. 4B, col. 20, lines 1-9).

As per claim 9, Chandra and Hallmark teach all the claimed subject matters as discussed in claim 8, and further teach wherein the predefined control data area of the Coupling Facility list structure is a Coupling Facility list entry control data area, and the predefined control data area holds a message identifier and a correlation identifier for the message, the assigned index key comprising one of said message identifier or correlation identifier (Chandra, Fig. 2, 4B, col. 19, lines 46-49).

As per claim 10, Chandra and Hallmark teach all the claimed subject matters as discussed in claim 6, and further teach wherein the monitoring step is performed by a monitoring process within the Coupling Facility in response to receipt of a retrieval request which specifies said attribute value, the monitoring process including:

means for determining whether an identified available message matches all criteria of the received retrieval request (Chandra, Fig. 9A-9C, col. 16, lines 6-55); and

means, responsive to a positive match, for sending a response to the application program which issued the request (Chandra, Fig. 9A-9C, col. 16, lines 17-22).

As per claim 11, Chandra and Hallmark teach all the claimed subject matters as discussed in claim 10, and further teach invoking said means for determining a match for all identified messages in said queue which have said assigned index value corresponding to the application-specified attribute value (Chandra, Fig. 9A-9C, col. 16, lines 6-55, col. 19, lines 46-57).

Art Unit: 2172

As per claim 12, Chandra and Hallmark teach all the claimed subject matters as discussed in claim 1, and further teach wherein the assigned key comprises a secondary index key representing a sender-application-assigned attribute and can be used to identify messages in response to a retrieval request which specifies said attribute, and an additional primary index key comprising sequencing information is assigned to a message when the message is placed on the queue; and wherein the primary index key is used to select a message for retrieval from the available messages identified in the monitoring step which used the secondary key (Chandra, col. 19, line 44 – col. 20, line 9, col. 16, lines 17-55).

Claims 13-14 are rejected on grounds corresponding to the reasons given above for claims 1-2.

Claim 15 is rejected on grounds corresponding to the reasons given above for claim 1.

As per claim 16, Chandra teaches a data processing apparatus including:

storage means (Chandra, col. 4, lines 18-35);

a data processor (Chandra, col. 4, lines 18-35);

a resource manager component for storing messages within a queue and storing index keys in association with the enqueued messages for use in retrieval of the messages from the queue (Chandra, col. 4, lines 50-55, col. 19, line 45 – col. 20, line 9), the resource manager component including:

means for assigning an index key to a message in response to commit of the operation of putting the message on the queue, wherein the assigned index key comprises an attribute value of the message which was specified by the sending application when the message was sent (Chandra, col. 19, line 45 – col. 20, line 9); and

Art Unit: 2172

means, responsive to a receiver application program requesting retrieval of messages from the queue and specifying the attribute value (Chandra, Fig. 9A-9C, col. 16, lines 6-55),

whereby the index key assigned to the message in response to said commit provides an index which is usable for identifying committed messages having the particular application-specified attribute value (Chandra, col. 19, line 45 – col. 20, line 60).

Chandra does not explicitly teach monitoring the availability of messages in the queue. Hallmark teaches monitoring the availability of messages in the queue (Hallmark, col. 14, lines 2-5). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include monitoring the availability of messages in the queue in the system of Chandra to monitor the availability of data and notify the system when the message is available. Because the method of monitoring the availability of messages will detect and trigger the system to retrieve the message, it provides the system the functionality to automatically retrieve messages without the needs to constantly check when the message is available.

As per claim 17, Chandra and Hallmark teach all the claimed subject matters as discussed in claim 16, and further teach wherein the resource manager component includes means, responsive to the monitoring step identifying the availability of a committed message in the queue which has the assigned index key (Hallmark, col. 14, lines 2-5), for determining whether the message matches other criteria of the retrieval request (Chandra, col. 16, line 17 – col. 17, line 65, col. 19, line 45 – col. 20, line 60), and the apparatus further includes means, responsive to a positive match, for sending a response to the application program which issued the request (Chandra, Fig. 9A-9C).



Art Unit: 2172

***Conclusion***


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chongshan Chen whose telephone number is (703) 305-8319.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (8:00 am - 4:30 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Y Vu can be reached on (703)305-4393. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-3900.

September 29, 2003

  
SHAHID ALAM  
PRIMARY EXAMINER